

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,288	09/16/2005	Seiichi Terui	278257US3PCT	1979
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, KAVEL	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER-
		•	3651	
		• .		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/549,288	TERUI ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Kavel P. Singh	3651
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app aply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 B) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In different period we perfectly seed above, the maximum statutory period we perfy within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eccived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)∭ Thi: 3)∭ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Se</u> s action is FINAL . 2b) This ce this application is in condition for allowants and in accordance with the practice under Es	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	•
Disposition of	of Claims		
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)☐ Cla 7)☐ Cla	im(s) <u>24-46</u> is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) <u>24-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	t
Application I	Papers		
9) The 10) The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accellicant may not request that any objection to the objectment drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) <u></u> A 1.	Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice of [3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/549,288

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 24-29,33-37, and 45, drawn to an apparatus to supply trays arranged in a vertical direction, classified in class 198, subclass 347.1.
- II. Claims 30-32, drawn to an apparatus to supply trays in a vertical direction with movable plates to move the trays in a horizontal position as well, classified in class 198, subclass 347.3.
- III. Claims 38-44 and 46, drawn to an apparatus to supply trays in a vertical direction and a moving mechanism to hold or release the trays, classified in class 198, subclass 346.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I is the basic model of invention II. The subcombination has separate utility such as invention II uses movable plates to move the trays in a horizontal position.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Art Unit: 3651

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I is the basic model of invention II. The subcombination has separate utility such as invention III uses moving mechanism to hold or release trays.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention II has movable plates to move the tray in a horizontal direction. The subcombination has separate utility such as invention III uses moving mechanism to hold or release trays.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such

Application/Control Number: 10/549,288

Art Unit: 3651

claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

A telephone call was made to Gregory Maier on 9/14/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/549,288

Art Unit: 3651

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02),

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kavel P. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-2362. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KPS

GENE O. PRAWFORD